

Multiprofessional residence in health: perceptions of residents, preceptors and tutors**Residência multiprofissional em saúde: percepções de residentes, preceptores e tutores****Residencia multiprofesional en salud: percepciones de residentes, preceptores y tutores****Received: 31/08/2017****Approved: 31/07/2018****Published: 13/05/2019****Diane Coelho Pereira¹****Karina Piccin Zanni²****José Henrique da Silva Cunha³**

This study aimed to describe the perception of the residents, preceptors and tutors about the experience lived in the program of Multiprofessional Integrated Residency in Health of the Federal University of Triângulo Mineiro (RIMS-UFTM), Brazil, with regard to training and professional qualification. This is a descriptive and exploratory research with qualitative approach, carried out in 2015. Data were collected using semi-structured interviews and interpreted following the thematic content analysis. The following categories emerged: "Interests and motivations for RIMS - UFTM "; "Actions taken by the residents, preceptors and tutors"; " RIMS-UFTM as practice setting of the team work" and "Suggestions for program improvement." It was found that the experiences lived in this program were seen as an opportunity to expand the theoretical and practical professional knowledge, add contact and learning with professionals of various categories and contribute to changes in the service to users of the Unified Health System.

Descriptors: Program evaluation; Health human resource training; Patient care team; Internship, Nonmedical.

O presente estudo teve como objetivo descrever a percepção dos tutores, preceptores e residentes sobre a experiência vivenciada no programa de Residência Integrada Multiprofissional em Saúde da Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (RIMS-UFTM), no que se refere à formação e qualificação profissional. Trata-se de uma pesquisa descritiva e exploratória com abordagem qualitativa, realizada em 2015. Os dados foram coletados por meio de entrevista semiestruturada e interpretados seguindo a análise de conteúdo temática. Emergiram as categorias: "Interesses e motivações pela RIMS - UFTM"; "Ações desenvolvidas pelos residentes, preceptores e tutores"; "A RIMS-UFTM como cenário de prática do trabalho em equipe" e "Sugestões para melhorias do programa". Verificou-se que as experiências vivenciadas nesse programa foram vistas como uma oportunidade para ampliar o conhecimento teórico-prático profissional, crescer o contato e aprendizado com os profissionais de diversas categorias e colaborar para mudanças no modelo de atendimento aos usuários do Sistema Único de Saúde.

Descritores: Avaliação de programas e projetos de saúde; Capacitação de recursos humanos em saúde; Equipe de assistência ao paciente; Internato não médico.

El presente estudio tuvo como objetivo describir la percepción de los tutores, preceptores y residentes sobre la experiencia vivenciada en el programa de Residencia Integrada Multiprofesional en Salud de la Universidad Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (RIMS-UFTM), Brasil, en lo que se refiere a la formación y calificación profesional. Tratase de una investigación descriptiva y exploratoria con abordaje cualitativa, realizada en 2015. Los datos fueron colectados por medio de encuestas semiestructuradas e interpretados siguiendo el análisis de contenido temática. Emergieron las categorías: "Intereses y motivaciones por la RIMS-UFTM"; "Acciones desarrolladas por los residentes, preceptores y tutores"; "La RIMS-UFTM como escenario de práctica del trabajo en equipo" y "Sugerencias para mejoras del programa". Se ha verificado que las experiencias vivenciadas en ese programa fueron vistas como una oportunidad para ampliar el conocimiento teórico-práctico profesional, crecer el contacto y aprendizaje con los profesionales de diversas categorías y colaborar para cambiar en el modelo de atendimento a los usuarios del Sistema Único de Salud.

Descritores: Evaluación de programas y proyectos de salud; Capacitación de recursos humanos en salud; Grupo de atención al paciente; Internado no médico.

1. Occupational Therapist. Acupuncturist. Specialist in Child and Adolescent, Uberaba, MG, Brazil. ORCID: 0000-0003-4440-5942 E-mail: dianecoelho4@gmail.com

2. Occupational Therapist. Master degree in Special Education. PhD in Neuroscience. Adjunct Professor at the Department of Occupational Therapy and coordinator of Child and Adolescent Health area in the Multiprofessional Residency in Health modality at the Federal University of Triângulo Mineiro, Uberaba, MG, Brazil. ORCID: 0000-0002-8456-5038 E-mail: kpzanni@hotmail.com

3. Occupational Therapist. Acupuncturist. Specialist in Adult Health in the Multidisciplinary Residency in Health modality. Master degree in Health Care. Uberaba, MG, Brazil. ORCID: 0000-0002-4255-6125 E-mail: josehenrique_dasilvacunha@hotmail.com

INTRODUCTION

The multiprofessional residency programs in professional health area were created by law n° 11,129, 2005¹, guided by the principles and guidelines of the Unified Health System (SUS).

The Multiprofessional Residency in Health (MRH) is defined as a modality of lato sensu graduate course, developed in exclusive dedication regime and performed under supervision of teaching-service joint responsibility of the health and education sectors. It covers the professions of the health area such as physical education, biomedicine, nursing, pharmacy, language and hearing sciences, physiotherapy, veterinary medicine, nutrition, psychology, dentistry, social work and occupational therapy².

The MRH program was created as a strategy for permanent education policies that seeks to foster the production of the necessary conditions for changes in the still hegemonic technical healthcare model in Brazil³. In this sense, this program should provide changes from the multiprofessional performance, in order to favor the qualified insertion of young health professionals in the labor market².

One considers the MRH as a process still under construction, with many routes to be followed. In this regard, one can mention the need to prioritize time for action planning and pedagogical support; wide encouraging the participation and involvement of residents; need of deepening and foundation to take the lectures by the preceptors; development of tools to assess the process of learning consistent with the pedagogical strategy and guarantee of tutor's reserved time to perform the mentoring and systematic monitoring of resident team⁴.

Considering the current situation of public health in Brazil, with large local and regional disparities, particularly as regards professional training, personnel hiring/qualification/training policy and access to permanent education processes⁵, it is necessary researches aimed at the characterization of the MRH programs from the perspective of different actors involved in it.

Moreover, studies^{6,7} point out that the training object for collaborative practice in the Multiprofessional Residency in Health programs, which is done through the interprofessional education, allows for shared learning through the interaction of different areas. They also emphasize the need for further studies on this subject.

This study aimed to describe the perception of tutors, preceptors and residents about the experience lived in program of Multiprofessional Integrated Residency in Health of the Federal University of Triângulo Mineiro with regard to professional training and qualification.

METHOD

This was a descriptive and exploratory study, with qualitative approach.

The survey was conducted with tutors, preceptors and residents of RIMS-UFTM, who worked in 2014 at the Clinical Hospital of the Federal University of Triângulo Mineiro (HC-UFTM) or in Primary Health Care (PHC) in the city of Uberaba - Minas Gerais.

This program was created in 2010 and currently consists of three areas of concentration: Child and Adolescent Health; adult health and elderly health, involving professionals of physical education, biomedicine, nursing, physiotherapy, nutrition, psychology, social work and occupational therapy.

The HC-UFTM serves 27 municipalities that make up the Southern Triangle Macro-region of the State of Minas Gerais, the only public hospital offering highly complex care, distributing in operational structures such as hospitalization, outpatient, emergency and diagnostic services and specialized treatments.

The sectors of activity of residents, preceptors and tutors are Pediatric ward, Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Pediatric outpatient clinic, Day Hospital, medical clinic, Emergency, Oncology/Hematology, Maria da Glória Maria outpatient clinic and Clinical Analysis Laboratory.

In PHC, the operation areas occur in institutions distributed in Sanitary Districts. They are characterized as public institutions

and follow the guidelines outlined in the National Primary Care Policy (BANP) and aims to develop actions with the highest degree of capillarity and decentralization, being in the nearest place in the lives of the individuals. It should be the main gateway to health system, be the preferred contact of the users and the communication center with all the healthcare network⁶.

The selection of the respondents followed the inclusion criteria: tutors, preceptors and residents who worked in the program in 2014 in hospitals or PHC; and three representatives from each area of concentration, being them tutor, preceptor and resident. Sampling was intentional and was for saturation, consisting, therefore, in the suspension of new participants when the interview data began to show redundancy or repetition, being not relevant to persist with the collection⁸.

Data collection occurred from October to November 2015 and a semi-structured interview script was used, which was built in Microsoft Word program, version 2010.

This script contained twelve questions related to the actions undertaken by survey participants in RIMS-UFTM program: 1. What are the actions developed in your daily lives in the RIMS-UFTM program? 2. What is your perception of the following activities (theoretical activities, practical activities, theoretical and practical activities)? 3. What is your opinion regarding the physical structure and materials/resources that RIMS-UFTM provides for the development of the actions? 4. What is your opinion regarding the hours you devote to the activities of RIMS-UFTM? 5. In your view, the residents pay system is appropriate? 6. With regard to tutors and preceptors, do you believe they should receive remuneration for the work they do in RIMS-UFTM? 7. Which professionals do you interact with during your practices as a resident, tutor or preceptor? 8. Are there meetings, guidance, mentoring, supervision and/or other activities, among the various activities that must be performed? If so, in your view, how are made these activities and if they meet the goals and demands of RIMS-UFTM? 9. Thinking about the concentration area in

which you operate, how you would rate the multiprofessional work that is developed? Why? 10. How would you describe the tutor/preceptor/resident from your experiences in RIMS-UFTM? 11. What are your suggestions for improvements to the RIMS-UFTM program? 12. What motivates you to be at RIMS-UFTM, and the experiences in RIMS-UFTM added something to your personal growth?

Data collection was carried out after personal contact with the potential participants, in which the purpose of the study was explained to them, as well as the methodology that would be used, the guarantee of not being identified and the confidentiality of information, and freedom of refuse participating or leave at any time of the study. After they have accepted and signed the Free and Informed Consent (IC), the questions were sent to the participants by e-mail.

The material with the responses was analyzed by the thematic content analysis method, with the phases of pre-analysis; material exploration; processing and interpretation of results obtained⁹.

In the pre-analysis phase a floating reading of the material was performed for the impregnation of the content. In the material exploitation, the subjects were being grouped as its contents, from the units of meaning, to obtain the formation of categories. The processing and interpretation of the results was based on the theoretical references used that supported the formation of categories.

In order to ensure the anonymity of the participants, letters were used to represent their functions in RIMS-UFTM: R (resident), P (preceptor), T (tutor), followed by the sequential number of interviews.

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Tringulo Mineiro (CEP / UFTM), according to the Opinion no. 1,278,408, 2015, respecting the ethical principles of the National Health Council Resolution 466/12.

RESULTS

Participants of this study were nine professionals, with the residents named R1, R2 and R3, belonging to the professional

categories of psychology, physiotherapy and occupational therapy, respectively, all of them developing their activities in the PHC in 2015.

The preceptors named P1, P2 and P3 have played their actions in HC-UFTM, covering the professions of biomedicine,

occupational therapy and physiotherapy. Tutors were named T1, T2 and T3, with T1 being a social worker and was active in PHC, while T2 and T3 were nurses and worked respectively in the PHC and HC - UFTM (Chart 01).

Chart 1. Participants by function, profession and place of work. Uberaba (MG) 2015.

Participants	Function	Profession	Place of work
R1	Resident	Psychology	Matrix Health Unit (UMS)
R2	Resident	Physiotherapy	Basic Health Unit (UBS)
R3	Resident	Occupational therapy	UMS
P1	Preceptor	Biomedicine	HC - UFTM
P2	Preceptor	Occupational therapy	HC - UFTM
P3	Preceptor	Physiotherapy	HC - UFTM
T1	Tutor	Social Service	UMS
T2	Tutor	Nursing	UBS
T3	Tutor	Nursing	HC - UFTM

From the data analysis four categories emerged: Interests and motivations for RIMS - UFTM; Actions taken by residents, preceptors and tutors; RIMS-UFTM as practice setting of the team work team and Suggestions for program improvements. These categories are as follows:

Interests and motivations for RIMS - UFTM

The interview participants were asked in relation to the interests and motivations to enter and be in the program. In this category, the reflections of the residents permeated issues related to professional qualification, offer of a quality work for SUS users, seeking the understanding of the various ways to understand the human being from the perspective of various professions and the remuneration system, as shown in the lines below:

Professional qualification to work in SUS; gain practical experience and contribute to my team to provide quality work to the users we serve (R3).

Learning about the different ways to understand the human being, from points of view of different professions, the relationship developed with my co-workers and the value of the scholarship (R1).

Preceptors mentioned the possibility of acting in a multidisciplinary way, collaborating with the theoretical and practical knowledge of the residents and knowledge exchange among professionals, as said by the participants:

What motivated me to get into the RIMS was the possibility to work in a multiprofessional way, and to cooperate with my knowledge (P1).

I like the exchange of technical knowledge and the challenge of relating my practice in search of excellence and update (P2).

Tutors mentioned the contribution with critical reflection on the role of MRH and the changes in health policies necessary in the current context and the importance of the role and impact that the multiprofessional care generates, with a view to completeness, as the affirmatives below:

Our participation in RIMS is crossed by a number of issues that highlight the contradictions of health policies (including health workers training policies) as well as the capitalist system and its neoliberal ideas. Contact with these contradictions, to some extent, makes us want to participate in this process in order to contribute with critical reflection on the role that the MRH and the health policies have assumed in the current context. We need to rely on individuals who question this model of training being developed and show the contradictions that are placed in the context of health policies (T1).

The proposed activity and the impact that a multiprofessional care generates, believing that we need a comprehensive and team care assistance (T2).

Actions taken by residents, preceptors and tutors

The residents interviewed, when asked about the actions daily developed in RIMS-UFTM reported that played practical, theoretical and theoretical-practical activities in the concentration areas such as Child and Adolescent Health (R1), adult health (R3) or elderly health (R2), distributed in 60 hours per week.

It is known that in the practice workload of the residents, the actions are geared

towards assistance, including specific outpatient care provided by various professional categories; multiprofessional outpatient care provided by teams of residents of each area of concentration; group calls; home visits; lifelong learning with the teams of Family Health strategy of reference UMS and duty activities involving actions in the community area of UMS or UBS in which the residents work.

The theoretical activities are related to the preparation of the undergraduation thesis (TCC) and participation in classes distributed in the transverse axis, aimed at all residents enrolled in the program; concentration axis, attributed to residents of the concentration areas in child and adolescent health, adult and elderly health; and the specific axis referred specifically to the various professional categories that make up the program in question.

Regarding the theoretical and practical activities, residents reported that they are fulfilled through participation in meetings with tutors and preceptors, involving case studies and specific guidelines, being held among the teams of each area of concentration and in their own professional categories.

In this sense, residents reported their perceptions of the actions carried out in the program, stating:

I believe that the practical activities have their shortcomings, but I see it more as a challenge, because it is the public service that is constantly evolving (R2).

The theoretical activities (...) are activities that contribute to our training, but still has some flaws, including lack of teacher sometimes to teach and materials to contribute to the enrichment of the classes. Among these aspects, I think RIMS is still new, but it is in the right way to promote a rich training for future professionals who will work in the SUS (R3).

Some theoretical and practical activities have quality, efficiency and content, while others are well loss, depending on the involvement of the tutor and the preceptor (when there is one) with the activities of the residence (R1).

Preceptors respondents reported that their actions were developed in a practical and theoretical-practical way. The practical activities were developed in the HC-UFTM along with the residents of their own professional category and only the preceptor (P2) said he developed preceptorship

together with the resident team of the concentration area in child and adolescent health. Theoretical and practical activities were carried out through studies of specific cases and guidelines for the resident of his own profession. The preceptor (P1) reported that in addition to these actions he also taught in the specific axis. In relation to this axis, P3 said:

We need to study more, value and meet other theoretical approaches, be more creative, dynamic and tolerant and also live with professionals who want to contribute to building more humane and effective care (P3).

Residents interviewed said they were not guided by tutors in practical scenarios of UMS and UBS due to the reduced number of professionals working in health facilities or absence of certain professional categories, in addition to limited availability by the local staff in developing activities of preceptorship.

Tutors participants in this interview declared that performed actions aimed at theoretical and practical guidelines, individual or group, directed to residents of their own professional category and the area of concentration, which was part of it. They also reported that supervised TCC, taught classes in the specific axis and concentration as well as being part of the coordination in the areas of concentration. T1 reports his perception of the tutors' actions, as follows:

In general I think that the activities, such as meetings, guidelines and tutorials meet the proposed objectives, but of course this process is crossed by a number of issues that weaken and negatively affect the RIMS (T1).

The RIMS-UFTM as a teamwork practice scenario

Residents, preceptors and tutors, when asked about teamwork developed in the area of concentration in which they work, reported that it was played in a multiprofessional and/or interdisciplinary way. The multiprofessional team work was mentioned in the moments that individual and group consultations were being conducted by different professional categories, each acting in its specificity, as pointed by R2:

They are individually performed by each professional category according to the need and demand (R2).

The work in an interdisciplinary team was mentioned when team meetings, clinical case discussions, collectively planning and execution of treatment plans were being

developed, involving more than one professional category, as shown in the speech below:

We are managing to act that way, we discuss the cases of the patients and we draw a unique treatment plan for the patients we assist (R3).

The interview participants pointed out as obstacles to the realization of multiprofessional work and the difficulty of engagement of all team members, the understanding of the specificities and focus of action of each professional category and difficulty in holding meetings in teams constantly. However, they recognized the importance of teamwork development for their own professional growth and fully meeting the needs and demands of patients cared.

Suggestions for program improvements

Study participants showed very similar aspects to this category. Through the experiences lived along the permanence in the program, respondents reported acquisition of personal and professional growth, which is a rich learning space.

Although they have brought positive aspects, participants pointed suggestions to RIMS improvements – UFTM, such as discuss and update the Political Pedagogical Project (PPP) according to the current demands; need for greater involvement and engagement of actors in the program, mainly tutors and preceptors; conducting systematic meetings and training of tutors and preceptors with their residents, in order to promote the approach and exchange of experiences; compensation or accounting of hours dedicated to the program in the workload of tutors and preceptors; reducing the workload of the resident with a view to quality care and reducing precarious employment; check the method and content of the classes, especially the transverse axis; strengthening partnerships among UFTM, HC-UFTM and Secretary of Health; approximation of residents with work teams in action scenarios; and preparation / dissemination of researches directed to multiprofessional and interdisciplinary work developed within the residence.

DISCUSSION

In the category "Interests and motivations for RIMS-UFTM", the motivation to work has a close relationship with the satisfaction it provides, how it was chosen and the meaning that each attaches to the activity performed¹⁰. One works to give meaning to life and the society in which one lives, the necessity to contribute to the organization of their own work, especially in overcoming the inconsistencies between the prescribed organization and real one¹¹.

The notes presented by the residents in the category abovementioned corroborate a study aimed to understand the meanings of the training experiences of the MRH program graduate students linked to a federal educational institution in which the participants reported that the residence allowed obtaining new knowledge about other areas and care for the patient in full by through multiprofessional work¹².

With regard to the interests and motivations exposed by the preceptors, the result of a study indicates that after analyzing the MRH preceptors experience in the field of physiotherapy, it is pointed out that a new proposal for care and education, residents stimulate preceptors the desire and the need to update and constantly seek to build the curriculum grounded in the multiprofessional and humanized practice, consolidated in theoretical subsidies¹³.

Taking into account the responses mentioned by the tutors in this axis, it is observed that there is need to produce changes in the health care model, which is considered a social emergency. In this sense, the government started to discuss and develop strategies for the implementation of policies in an attempt to establish a new organization of services that can cope with the needs of individuals. In this perspective, the MRH program was presented as a strategy for reorganization of primary care aimed to produce the necessary conditions for change in restrictive medical care model, of attention in healthcare³.

For the category "Actions taken by the residents, preceptors and tutors," it can be said that the actions taken are in accordance

with the provisions of Resolution of the National Commission for Multiprofessional Residency in Health (CNRMS), requiring that the MRH contemplate practical, theoretical and practical-theoretical activities arranged on a common transverse axis to all professions involved, one or more axes in the areas of concentration, in addition to axis related to the core area for knowledge of each profession².

According to the statements made by the residents, one study¹⁴ meant that the *lato sensu* training of the residency programs in health is based on education through work and the process translates to the service through the combination involving theory and practice. It also points out that the involvement of residents, preceptors and tutors is necessary due to the importance of sharing teaching and learning, based on reflections on the practice, the exchange of experiences and re(construction) of knowledge in health care settings.

The preceptor is a professional who participates in the preparation of residents in order to articulate the practical scientific knowledge. It is necessary the domain of clinical practice as well as the pedagogical aspects to transform the professional scene in school environment¹⁵. This information can be evidenced in a study showing that in order to have the practice described above, the preceptor should have the ability to mediate the process of learning-teaching in practical scenarios, discuss the reality and stimulate a process of reflection and action for (re)building the clinical practice of the resident¹⁴.

The preceptor needs to be protagonist, due to the sharing of responsibility in the residents training. In this context, the pedagogical and scientific rationale offered by the preceptors are essential for residents to apply their knowledge in practice settings, so changing the care environment in an area of multiple learning.

With regard to the tutor function, the activities are for academic orientation of preceptors and residents, preferably structured in the modalities of core tutoring and field tutoring². Both are guidelines

focused on the discussion of theoretical, practical and theoretical-practical activities, but the core tutoring is intended for professional specific core and field tutoring covers the knowledge cores and practices of different professions that make up the concentration program area.

These guidelines should take place through weekly regular meetings with a view to planning and implementing actions aimed at qualification of services and new technologies to health care and management. Importantly, in interviews with the tutors no participant reported the guidance by tutors in the execution of their activities. According to a study¹⁶, the tutor should exercise activities that promote team dialogue, which initially is disjointed, to integrate different knowledge from the perspective of organizing the multiprofessional work process, seeking to produce a truly interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary work.

The tutor must also organize the team work process from the observation of living and health conditions of the population cared, promote horizontal communication among team members and among them and the members of the support network on the territory, as well as evaluation training processes focusing on relational aspects, the interdisciplinary actions, the health team accountability and deepening of theoretical and conceptual issues related to health field¹⁶.

In the category "RIMS-UFTM as practical scenario of teamwork", residents, preceptors and tutors reported that this is performed in a multiprofessional and/or interdisciplinary way, which corroborates the provisions of the resolution CNRMS nº 2, April 13, 2012², which describes that MRH should adopt methodologies and management arrangements of the expanded clinical in order to ensure the formation based on the comprehensive, multiprofessional and interdisciplinary attention.

This information will meet the results of a research¹⁷, which showed the multiprofessional practice described by the differences in professions, demonstrating this aspect to add knowledge of each area. Another study also shows that the work in

multiprofessional team is related to common goals, shared commitment, shared team identity, integration of working methods, clear roles and responsibilities of the team and interdependence among team members¹⁸.

The MRH program is understood as a significant opportunity of contact and learning from professionals in various categories, enabling residents to assume a new role in professional practice, for which the assistance to users is more humanized and comprehensive, from a share effective knowledge of each area¹⁸.

The collective proves to be important, as it is in multiprofessional and interdisciplinary approach that is the seizure of vast knowledge and practices, in which the actions converge and enable teamwork. In this direction the integral action in health assume the active participation of its members and the conjunction of knowledge of each area, in the implementation of joint projects to improve the health status of the people¹⁹.

When considering the category "Suggestions for program improvements," participants suggested actions needed to its improvement. Some of the statements made by the participants will meet the present discussions on important reports involving evaluations that expressed challenges, limitations and contradictions of MRH^{20,21}. It is highlighted the maintenance of funding, evaluation of programs, ensuring teachers for theoretical and mentoring services, absence of general guidelines for training, heterogeneous models of formation and implementation of devices aimed at the permanent (re)organization of educational practices and health by reviewing specific and fragmented programs.

The MRH program is part of a major political movement to guarantee the SUS principles, and to its consolidation it is necessary to apply for and gain space in the scenarios of political and pedagogical discussions to its legitimacy. It can be said that the MRH constitute a project under construction that lacks actions and changes to materialize itself in practice and in everyday services^{22,23}.

CONCLUSION

The data obtained in this study revealed the perspective of residents, preceptors and tutors that the RIMS-UFTM program is understood by them as an opportunity to expand the theoretical and practical professional knowledge; add the contact and learning from professionals in various categories; contribute to changes in the model of care to SUS users with a focus on multiprofessional and interdisciplinary work and reflect on the role of the MRH and the changes in health policies necessary to the current context.

Based on the experiences lived along the permanence in the program, the participants pointed reflections and changes needed to improvement and progress. These notes should be as a crucial agenda in the debates both locally and nationally, so the list will bring eco and lead to change.

It can be seen that the contribution of this study is the characterization and understanding of the benefits of residence for qualification and professional development, as well as the difficulties experienced in performance scenarios.

For services and health workers, it is observed that the insertion of residency programs in the context of health services can contribute to comprehensive care for those who require the services offered by SUS. Regarding the policies and knowledge building, it was demonstrated in this study that there are challenges for the improvement of the program.

The limitation of this study is due to the fact that the localization is reduced, as well as only one group and program, which makes it necessary further studies in other Multiprofessional Residency programs.

REFERENCES

1. Presidência da República (Brasil). Lei nº. 11.129, de 30 de junho de 2005. Institui o Programa Nacional de Inclusão de Jovens – ProJovem; cria o Conselho Nacional da Juventude – CNJ e a Secretaria Nacional de Juventude; altera as Leis nº 10.683, de 28 de maio de 2003, e 10.429, de 24 de abril de 2002; e dá outras providências [Internet].

- D.O.U., Brasília, DF, 01 jul 2005 [cited 25 Aug 2017]. Available from: http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_Ato2004-2006/2005/Lei/L11129.htm
2. Ministério da Educação (Brasil). Comissão Nacional de Residência Multiprofissional em Saúde (Brasil). Resolução CNRMS nº2, de 13 de abril de 2012. Dispõe sobre Diretrizes Gerais para os Programas de Residência Multiprofissional e em Profissional de Saúde [Internet]. D.O.U., Brasília, DF, 26 abr 2012 [cited 25 Aug 2017]. Available from: http://portal.mec.gov.br/index.php?option=com_docman&view=download&alias=15448-resol-cnrms-n2-13abril-2012&Itemid=30192
3. Ceccim RB. Educação permanente em saúde: descentralização e disseminação de capacidade pedagógica na saúde. *Ciênc Saúde Colet.* [Internet]. 2005 [cited 25 Aug 2017]; 10(4): 975-86. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/csc/v10n4/a20v10n4.pdf> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1413-81232005000400020>
4. Ministério da Saúde (Br), Secretaria de Gestão do Trabalho e da Educação na Saúde, Departamento de Gestão da Educação na Saúde. Residência multiprofissional em saúde: experiências, avanços e desafios [Internet]. Brasília, DF: Ministério da Educação; 2006 [cited 25 Aug 2017]. Available from: http://bvsm.sau.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/residencia_multiprofissional.pdf
5. Silva CT, Terra MG, Kruse MHL, Camponogara S, Xavier MS. Residência multiprofissional como espaço intercessor para a educação permanente. *Texto & Contexto Enferm.* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 25 Aug 2017]; 25(1):1-9. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/tce/v25n1/0104-0707-tce-25-01-2760014.pdf> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0104-0707201600002760014>
6. Peduzzi M, Norman IJ, Germani ACCG, Silva JAM, Souza GC. Interprofessional education: training for healthcare professionals for teamwork focusing on users. *Rev Esc Enferm USP.* [Internet]. 2013 [cited 25 Mar 2017]; 47(4):973-9. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/pdf/reeusp/v47n4/en_0080-6234-reeusp-47-4-0977.pdf
7. Miranda Neto MV, Leonello VM, Oliveira MAC. Multiprofessional residency in health: a document analysis of political pedagogical projects. *Rev Bras Enferm.* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 25 Aug 2017]; 68(4):586-93. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/pdf/reben/v68n4/en_0034-7167-reben-68-04-0586.pdf <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0034-7167.2015680403i>
8. Fontanella BJB, Ricas J, Turato ER. Amostragem por saturação em pesquisas qualitativas em saúde: contribuições teóricas. *Cad Saúde Pública.* 2008; 24(1):17-27.
9. Minayo MCS. O desafio do conhecimento: pesquisa qualitativa em saúde. São Paulo: Hucitec; 2014.
10. Gomes PB. O significado e a motivação do trabalho para estagiários [Internet]. [Trabalho de conclusão de curso]. Brasília, DF: Escola Nacional de Administração Pública; 2014 [cited 25 Aug 2017]. 43p. Available from: <http://repositorio.enap.gov.br/bitstream/1/2660/1/O%20significado%20e%20a%20motiva%C3%A7%C3%A3o%20do%20trabalho%20para%20estagi%C3%A1rios.pdf>
11. Giongo CR, Monteiro JK, Sobrosa GMR. Psicodinâmica do trabalho no Brasil: revisão sistemática da literatura [editorial]. *Temas psicol.* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 25 Aug 2017]; 23(4):803-14. Available from: <http://pepsic.bvsalud.org/pdf/tp/v23n4/v23n4a01.pdf> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.9788/TP2015.4-01>
12. Silva JC, Contim D, Ohl RIB, Chavaglia SRR, Amaral EMS. Percepção dos residentes sobre sua atuação no programa de residência multiprofissional. *Acta Paul Enferm.* [Internet] 2015 [cited 25 Aug 2017]; 28(2):132-8. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/ape/v28n2/1982-0194-ape-28-02-0132.pdf> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1982-0194201500023>
13. Loren RH. Papel do preceptor na residência multiprofissional: experiência da fisioterapia [Internet]. [Trabalho de conclusão

- de curso]. Porto Alegre: Universidade do Rio Grande do Sul - UFRGS; 2010 [cited 25 ago 2017]. Available from: <http://www.lume.ufrgs.br/bitstream/handle/10183/32960/000760548.pdf?sequence=1>
14. Ribeiro KRB, Prado ML. A prática educativa dos preceptores nas residências em saúde: um estudo de reflexão. *Rev Gaúch Enferm.* [Internet]. 2013 [cited 25 Aug 2017]; 35(1):161-5. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/pdf/rngenf/v35n1/pt_1983-1447-rngenf-35-01-00161.pdf. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1983-1447.2014.01.43731>
15. Botti SHO. Desenvolvendo as competências profissionais dos residentes. *Rev. HUPE.* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 25 Aug 2017]; 11(1):102-5. Available from: http://revista.hupe.uerj.br/detalhe_artigo.asp?id=317
16. Parente JRF, Dias MSA, Chagas MIO, Craveiro MVA. Trajetória da residência multiprofissional em saúde da família de Sobral. In: Ministério da Saúde (Br), Secretaria de Gestão do Trabalho e da Educação na Saúde, Departamento de Gestão da Educação na Saúde. *Residência multiprofissional em saúde: experiências, avanços e desafios.* Brasília, DF: Ministério da Saúde; 2006. p. 81-96.
17. Mccaffrey RG, Hayes R, Stuart W, Cassel A, Farrell C, Miller-Reyes S, et al. An educational program to promote positive communication and collaboration between nurses and medical staff. *J. Nurses Staff Dev.* [Internet]. 2011 [cited 25 Aug 2017]; 27(3):121-7. Available from: <https://insights.ovid.com/crossref?an=00124645-201105000-00004>. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/NND.0b013e318217b3ce>
18. Aase I, Hansen BS, Aase K. Norwegian nursing and medical students' perception of interprofessional teamwork: a qualitative study. *BMC Med Educ.* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 25 Aug 2017]; 14(170):1-9. Available from: <https://bmcmmededuc.biomedcentral.com/track/pdf/10.1186/1472-6920-14-170>. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6920-14-170>
19. Bunniss S, Kelly DR. The unknown becomes the known': collective learning and change in primary care teams. *BMC Med Educ.* [Internet]. 2008 [cited 25 Aug 2017]; 42(12):1185-94. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2008.03159.x>. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2008.03159.x>
20. Relatório da oficina: Desafios e perspectivas das residências em saúde coletiva: RMPS e residências multiprofissionais em saúde coletiva. In: IX Congresso Brasileiro de Saúde Coletiva [Internet]; 2009; Recife. Recife: ABRASCO; 2009 [cited 20 Aug 2015]. Available from: www.saudecoletiva2009.com.br/oficinas/RELAT%20D3RIO%20OFICINA_Resid%20Ancias%20Multiprofissionais.pdf
21. Ministério da Saúde (Br), Secretaria de Gestão do Trabalho e da Educação na Saúde, Departamento de Gestão da Educação na Saúde. Relatório de atividades da comissão nacional de residência multiprofissional em saúde - CNRMS: exercício 2007/2009 [Internet]. Brasília, DF: Ministério da Saúde; 2009 [cited 25 Aug 2017]. Available from: <http://www.sbfa.org.br/portal/pdf/Relatori%20Atividades%20CNRMS%202007%202009.pdf>
22. Brunholi GN. Caminhando pelo fio da história: a residência multiprofissional em saúde nos espaços de construção da política de formação de trabalhadores para o SUS [Internet]. [Dissertação]. Vitória: Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo - UFE; 2013 [cited 25 Aug 2017]. Available from: <http://repositorio.ufes.br/bitstream/10/1284/1/Dissertacao%20Gislene%20do%20Nascimento%20Brunholi.pdf>
23. Mioto RCT, Alves FL, Caetano OS, Prá KRD. As residências multiprofissionais em saúde: a experiência da Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina. *Serv Soc Saúde* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 25 Aug 2017]; 2(14):185-208. Available from: <https://periodicos.sbu.unicamp.br/ojs/index.php/sss/article/view/8635288>. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.20396/sss.v11i2.8635288>

CONTRIBUTIONS

Diane Coelho Pereira participated in the conception, design, analysis and interpretation of data and writing. **Karina Piccin Zanni** participated in the design, , analysis and interpretation of data, writing and critical review. **José Henrique da Silva Cunha** contributed in the analysis, data interpretation and writing.

How to cite this article (Vancouver)

Pereira DC, Zanni KP, Cunha JHS. Multiprofessional residence in health: perceptions of residents, preceptors and tutors. *REFACS* [Internet]. 2019 [cited in inserting day, month and year of access]; 7(2):200-210. Available in: enter access link. DOI: DOI insert link.

How to cite this article (ABNT)

PEREIRA, D. C.; ZANNI, K. P.; CUNHA, J. H. S. Multiprofessional residence in health: perceptions of residents, preceptors and tutors. *REFACS*, Uberaba, MG, v. 7, n. 2, p. 200-210, 2019. DOI: *insert DOI link* Available from: *<insert access link>*. Access in: *insert day, month and year of access*.

How to cite this article (APA)

Pereira, D.C., Zanni, K.P. & Cunha, J.H.S. (2019). Multiprofessional residence in health: perceptions of residents, preceptors and tutors. *REFACS*, 7(2), 200-210. Retrieved in: *insert day, month and year of access from insert link access*. DOI: *insert DOI link*.