

Diabetic and Hypertensive Patient's Handbook: adaptation of the *Caderneta de Saúde da Pessoa Idosa* for nursing assistance in Secondary Care

Caderneta do diabético e do hipertenso: adaptação da *caderneta de saúde da pessoa idosa* para a assistência de enfermagem na atenção secundária

Libreta del diabético y del hipertenso: adaptación de la cartilla de salud del anciano a los cuidados de enfermería en la atención secundaria

Received: 01/06/2021 Approved: 16/10/2021 Published: 01/01/2022 Francine Santos Giovanini¹
Bárbara Longhi de Oliveira²
Rita de Cássia Helú Mendonça Ribeiro³
Vânia Del'Arco Paschoal⁴
Daniele Alcalá Pompeo⁵
Alexandre Lins Werneck⁶

Cross-sectional study with descriptive design, quantitative analytical approach, carried out in 2021, in a specialty clinic in the city of São José do Rio Preto, São Paulo, Brazil. It aimed to present an adapted version of the *Carteira de Saúde da Pessoa Idosa* (Health Handbook for the Elderly Person) to users with diabetes mellitus and arterial hypertension in Secondary Care. Two instruments were applied: one to evaluate the treatment before the experience and the other after the experience with the handbook (collection instrument 1) and the Diabetic and Hypertensive Patient's Handbook (collection instrument 2 adapted from the *Carteira de Saúde da Pessoa Idosa*). Fifty patients participated, of which 60% were women aged 51 to >60 years, 72% suffered from type II diabetes mellitus and 56% suffered from arterial hypertension. They reported that the handbook helped with treatment (94%), medication schedule, food, participation in treatment; and that they read the entire handbook (78%). In terms of association before and after, the most significant issues were: taking the medications at the established time, fitting medication schedules into daily activities, and complying with the treatment without supervision from family or friends. The adapted handbook proved to be an important instrument, especially for health education in secondary care, with a view to expanding knowledge, as well as facilitating nursing care.

Descriptors: Nursing care; Secondary health care; Hypertension; Diabetes mellitus.

Estudo transversal com delineamento descritivo, abordagem quantitativa do tipo analítica, realizado no ano de 2021, em ambulatório de especialidades da cidade de São José do Rio Preto, São Paulo, com objetivo de apresentar uma versão adaptada da Carteira de Saúde da Pessoa Idosa a usuários com diabetes mellitus e hipertensão arterial em Atenção Secundária. Foram aplicados dois instrumentos, um para avaliar o tratamento antes da experiência e outra após a experiência com a caderneta (instrumento de coleta 1) e a caderneta do Diabético e Hipertenso (instrumento de coleta 2 adaptada da caderneta de saúde da pessoa idosa). Participaram 50 pacientes, 60% de mulheres, entre 51 a >60 anos, 72% com diabetes mellitus tipo II e 56% com hipertensão arterial. Relataram que a caderneta colaborou com tratamento (94%), horário de medicação, alimentação, participação no tratamento; e, leram toda a caderneta (78%). Em termos de associação antes e depois, as questões com maior significância foram: toma as medicações no horário estabelecido, encaixa os horários dos remédios nas atividades do dia a dia e, cumpre o tratamento sem supervisão de sua família ou amigos. A caderneta adaptada mostrou-se importante instrumento, sobretudo de educação em saúde na atenção secundária, com vistas a ampliação de conhecimento, bem como de facilitar a assistência de enfermagem.

Descritores: Cuidados de enfermagem; Atenção secundária à saúde; Hipertensão; Diabetes mellitus.

Estudio transversal con diseño descriptivo, enfoque cuantitativo de tipo analítico, realizado en el año 2021, en un ambulatorio de especialidad de la ciudad de São José do Rio Preto, São Paulo, Brasil, con el objetivo de presentar una versión adaptada de la cartilla de salud para el anciano a los usuarios con diabetes mellitus e hipertensión en la atención secundaria. Se aplicaron dos instrumentos: uno para evaluar el tratamiento antes de la experiencia y otro después de la experiencia con la libreta (instrumento de recogida 1) y la Libreta del Diabético e Hipertenso (instrumento de recogida 2 adaptado de la libreta de salud del anciano). Participaron 50 pacientes, de los cuales 60% eran mujeres, con edades comprendidas entre 51 y >60 años, 72% con diabetes mellitus tipo II y 56% con hipertensión. Informaron de que la libreta colaboró con el tratamiento (94%), el horario de la medicación, la dieta, la participación en el tratamiento; y leyeron toda la libreta (78%). En cuanto a la asociación antes y después, las cuestiones más significativas fueron: tomar la medicación a tiempo, adaptar el horario de la medicación a sus actividades diarias y cumplir el tratamiento sin supervisión de la familia o los amigos. La libreta adaptada resultó ser un instrumento importante, especialmente para la educación en salud en la atención secundaria, con vistas a ampliar los conocimientos, además de facilitar los cuidados de enfermería.

Descriptores: Atención de enfermería; Atención secundaria de salud; Hipertensión; Diabetes mellitus.

^{1.} Undergraduate student in Nursing at the Faculdade de Medicina de São José do Rio Preto (FAMERP), São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil. ORCID: 0000-0002-5636-0158 E-mail: francine.giovanini@edu.famerp.br

^{2.} Undergraduate student in Nursing at FAMERP, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil.. ORCID: 0000-0001-5888-4134 E-mail: barbara.oliveira@edu.famerp.br

^{3.} Nurse. Specialist in Gerontology. Master in Nursing. PhD in Health Sciences. Professor at the General Department of Nursing at FAMERP, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil. ORCID: 0000-0002-1016-0484 E-mail: ricardo.rita@terra.com.br

^{4.} Nurse. Specialist in Management in Basic Health Unit. Master and PhD in Health Sciences. Adjunct Professor at FAMERP, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil. ORCID: 0000-0002-6047-5345 E-mail: vaniadelarco@gmail.com

^{5.} Nurse. Specialist in Distance Learning. Master and PhD in Nursing. Professor at the Department of Specialized Nursing at FAMERP, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil. ORCID: 0000-0003-2671-2586 E-mail: daniele.pompeo@famerp.br

^{6.} Bachelor in Languages with a Qualification in Translation and Interpretation of the English Language. Master and PhD in Health Sciences. Professor of the Postgraduate Program in Nursing at FAMERP, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil. ORCID: 0000-0002-2911-8091 E-mail: alexandre.werneck@famerp.br

INTRODUCTION

hronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs) were shown to be the cause for approximately 56.9% of deaths in Brazil, with the population aged 30 to 69 years being the most affected¹ and considered a global public health problem². NCDs account for 80% of deaths in low and middle-income countries³. About 22 countries have mortality rates from NCDs above the regional average².

The NCDs that most affect the population are: cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus (DM), cancer and chronic respiratory diseases⁴. According to the World Health Organization, most deaths related to NCDs are caused by bad lifestyle habits, constituting a set of factors, namely: poor diet, smoking, lack of physical exercise and excessive consumption of alcoholic beverages⁵. The increase in the incidence of NCDs has been one of the major causes of death in the world, responsible for 38 million deaths per year, of which 16 million are premature deaths and approximately 28 million in low and middle-income countries⁶.

In 2006, the Brazilian National Health Promotion Policy was approved. It's intent is to provide quality of life, reduce vulnerability and health risks, offer expertise followed by health practices and the health system, and provide health promotion activities in the System Unified Health System (SUS)⁷, formed by popular practices and knowledge, which seeks to provide health promotion and disease prevention through educational processes, consisting of knowledge in health⁸. In this context, the participation of the health team is important, as it can provide health education actions that provide a reduction in risk factors and the occurrence of chronic diseases in the SUS⁹.

Nursing care plays an essential role in the treatment of patients with NCDs, helping to adapt and accept better lifestyles, adherence to treatment, follow-up, educational activities and patient guidance¹⁰. The care provided by nurses ranges from patient admission, diagnosis, nursing interventions, nursing consultations, assessment of care for patients with chronic diseases, quality of care, professional and client relationship and technical procedures¹¹.

Secondary Care (SC) has been growing more and more, as a result of the organization of health systems in the conception of the Health Care Network, defragmenting the work process and leading to the integration of services. The composition of the SC consists of specialized outpatient and hospital services, favoring intermediate technological density and medium-complexity procedures¹².

With the current COVID-19 pandemic, NCDs are some of the main comorbidities in patients with COVID-19, resulting in worse conditions, increased length of hospital stay and deaths^{13,14}. Social distancing has been one of the efficient methods to reduce the spread of the new virus, but at the same time, it has had an impact on the quality of life of patients with NCDs¹⁵.

Non-adherence to better lifestyle habits for NCD patients can cause disabilities, secondary diseases, and even death. Thus, this study aims to present an adapted version of the *Caderneta de Saúde da Pessoa Idosa* (Health Handbook for the Elderly Person) to users with diabetes mellitus and arterial hypertension in Secondary Care.

METHODS

This is a cross-sectional study with a descriptive design, a quantitative analytical approach with correlation between variables.

The population was chosen by sequential non-probabilistic sampling, that is, all patients with Type 1 DM, Type 2 DM and AH registered and treated by the specialty clinic were considered. The inclusion criteria were: having Type 1 DM, Type 2 DM and AH, patients with set medical appointments; and as an exclusion criterion: absence of chronic disease and not having a medical diagnosis.

Data collection was carried out according to the schedules of the specialties outpatient clinic of a Teaching Hospital in January 2021. In the first contact with the patient, the consent form and the instrument were delivered by the nurse.

Initially, the collection instrument 1 (1^{st} Term), with 12 questions was applied by nurses to assess treatment adherence, patient self-care, age, gender, Type 1 DM, Type 2 DM and AH. The second step was the delivery of the Diabetic and Hypertensive Patient's Handbook for patients to monitor their DM and/or AH.

The Diabetic and Hypertensive Patient's Handbook contained a presentation, personal data, person of reference, diagnoses and previous intervention, anthropometric data, lifestyle, blood pressure control, blood sugar control, medical appointment scheduling, as well as guidelines for better habits of life in food, physical activity and sexuality and guidance on the use of medications.

The patients were contacted between 15 days and a month after through telephone calls, and the collection instrument 1 (2nd Term) was applied again, to analyze whether there was an improvement in the adherence of the treatment and self-care after the use of the instrument, also asking the following questions and using as variables: "Did the handbook help in any way with your treatment?" and "Did you read all the guidelines in the handbook?".

The Diabetic and Hypertensive Patient's Handbook was created based on the Health Handbook for the Elderly Person, developed by the Brazilian Ministry of Health¹⁶. After reading this handbook, the relevant information for the construction of a handbook that works in the promotion, prevention, health education and monitoring of patients with DM and AH was transferred to a Microsoft Word document. Thus, he Health Handbook for the Elderly Person was adapted, in which previously absent information was added.

Data analysis was performed using the mean, median, mode, standard deviation, standard error, maximum value, minimum value, significance, Komologorov-Smirnov and t test. To know the outcomes of non-parametric variables, the Mann-Whitney U test, Spearman correlation or *Kruskall Wallis test was applied. The result was considered significant when p<0.05. After tabulating the collected data, two statistical analysis functions were performed: descriptive and inferential. Finally, all analyzes were obtained using the SPSS Statistics® software (version 23), linked to the features of the Microsoft Excel® tool (version 2016).

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculdade de Medicina de São José do Rio Preto (FAMERP), Opinion No. 4,353,050 of October 21, 2020. All participants signed the Informed Consent Form. The collection site was in the city of São José do Rio Preto, applied in the specialties outpatient clinic of a teaching hospital.

RESULTS

For this study, existing information was initially collected from 61 patients, 11 of which were excluded for not answering the 2^{nd} Term. These patients were followed up at the Specialty Outpatient Clinic of the Hospital de Base de São José do Rio Preto – SP. The population sample consisted of 58% women, with ages ranging from 51 years to > 60 years, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Characterization of age and gender of research participants. São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil. 2020.

Age	No	%
Up to 30 years	7	14.00
31 to 40 years	5	10.00
41 to 50 years	12	24.00
51 to 60 years	13	26.00
> 60 years	13	26.00
Gender		
Female	29	58.00
Male	21	42.00
TOTAL	50	100.00

72 % had Type 2 DM; and 56% had AH, according to Table 2.

Table 2. Comorbidities presented by patients. São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil. 2021

Diabetes Mellitus - Type 1	No	%
Yes	14	28.00
No	36	72.00
Diabetes Mellitus - Type 2		
Yes	36	72.00
No	14	28.00
AH		
Yes	28	56.00
No	22	44.00
TOTAL	50	100.00

Table 3 shows that 94% of patients reported that the handbook helped with treatment, and 78% read all the guidelines presented.

Table 3. Handbook Answers. São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil. 2021

Did the handbook help with treatment	No	%
Yes	47	94.00
No	3	6.00
Did you read all guidelines in the handbook		
Yes	39	78.00
No	11	22.00
TOTAL	50	10.,00

Table 4 shows all the questions present both in Term 1 and in Term 2, with the values that showed impact in this research.

Table 5 shows the variables that showed significance. When comparing the answers to the question, "Do you take the medications at the established time", with the $1^{\rm st}$ (before receiving the handbook) and $2^{\rm nd}$ Terms (after receiving the handbook), it is observed that the variables analyzed using the T-Test showed a statistically significant difference (p=0.001). A positive result was obtained when observing the $1^{\rm st}$ Term and the $2^{\rm nd}$ Term, as 26% of participants said they "always" took the medications at the established time at the application of the $1^{\rm st}$ Term, and, after the experience with the handbook, 88% revealed that they "always" take their medications at the established time at the $2^{\rm nd}$ Term.

At the intersection of the questions: "Do you follow a diet, do you fit the medication schedule in your daily activities, do you comply with the treatment without supervision from your family or friends, do you discuss how to comply with the treatment"all significance values were equal in both terms (p= 0.000). In the 1st Term, it was found that 26% of patients "almost always" follow a diet and, when applying the 2nd Term, 44% said "almost always" follow a diet. Then, they were asked if they "fit the medication schedule in their daily activities", in the 1st Term, it was shown that 38% of the patients "always" did and, in the 2nd Term, 66% "always" did.

 Table 4. Questionnaire variable responses. São José do Rio Preto. SP. Brazil. 2021

Do you take the medications at the established time - 1st Term	No	%
Sometimes	16	32.00
Almost always Always	20 13	40.00 26.00
Do you take the medications at the established time - 2 nd Term	13	20.00
Always	44	88.00
Do you take all the doses prescribed - 1st Term		
Sometimes Almost alluque	14	28.00
Almost always Always	24 11	48.00 22.00
Do you take all the doses prescribed - 2 nd Term	- 11	22.00
Always	43	86.00
Do you follow a diet - 1st Term		
Almost never Sometimes	11 20	22.00 40.00
Almost always	13	26.00
Do you follow a diet - 2 nd Term		
Almost always	22	44.00
Always	20	40.00
Do you go to medical appointments - 1st Term Always	47	04.00
Privays Do you go to to medical appointments - 2 nd Term	47	94.00
Always	41	82.00
Do you perform indicated physical exercises - 1st Term		
Never	16	32.00
Sometimes Order	13	26.00
Do you perform indicated physical exercises - 2 nd Term Sometimes	13	26.00
Almost always	15 15	30.00
Always	11	22.00
Do you fit the medication schedules in your daily activities - 1st Term		
Almost always Always	29 19	58.00
Do you fit the medication schedules in your daily activities - 2 nd Term	19	38.00
Almost always	14	28.00
Always	33	66.00
Do you and your doctor decide together the treatment to be followed - 1st Term		
Sometimes Almost chucus	10 24	20.00
Almost always Do you and your doctor decide together the treatment to be followed - 2 nd Term	24	48.00
Almost always	26	52.00
Always	21	42.00
Do you comply with the treatment without supervision from your family or friends - 1st Term	21	12.00
Almost always	13	26.00
Always	28	56.00
Do you comply with the treatment without supervision from your family or friends - 2 nd Term	1.5	20.00
Almost always Always	15 26	30.00 52.00
Do you carry the treatment through without much effort - 1st Term	20	32.00
Almost always	23	46.00
Always	21	42.00
Do you carry the treatment through without much effort - 2 nd Term	47	24.00
Almost always Always	17 30	34.00 60.00
Do you use reminders to carry out treatment - 1 st Term	50	00.00
Never	30	60.00
Do you use reminders to carry out treatment - 2 nd Term		
Never	21	42.00
Always Do you discuss how to comply with treatment - 1st Term	12	24.00
Sometimes	16	32.00
Almost always	27	54.00
Always	1	2.00
Do you discuss how to comply with treatment - 2 nd Term		F0 01
Almost always Always	26 20	52.00 40.00
Aways You can give your opinion on the treatment that the doctor has prescribed - 1st Term	20	40.00
Almost never	20	40.00
Sometimes	14	28.00
Almost always	12	24.00
You can give your opinion on the treatment that the doctor has prescribed - 2 nd Term	26	52.00
Almost always Always	26 16	52.00 32.00
TOTAL		100.0

When asked if they "comply with the treatment without supervision from family and friends", most patients answered "always" in both terms. Then, when asked "Do you discuss how to comply with the treatment" it was identified that, in the $1^{\rm st}$ Term, only 1% reported "always" discussing their treatment with their doctor. In the $2^{\rm nd}$ Term, after the experience with the handbook, 40% reported "always" discussing their treatment with their doctor (p=0.000).

The question: *Do you and your doctor decide together the treatment to be followed*, it is observed that the variables analyzed from the T-Test showed a statistically significant difference between terms (p =0.006). When asked in the 1st Term, 48% revealed *"almost always"* deciding their treatment with their doctor and, in the 2nd Term, 52% revealed *"almost always"* deciding their treatment with their doctor. Not a big difference, although the answer *"always"* for the same question went from 22% in the 1st Term to 42% in the 2nd Term, thus showing almost double the answers "*always*" and an improvement in treatment.

Table 5. Significant variables. São José do Rio Preto, SP. Brazil. 2021

OHECTIONS	ANSWERS	1st	1st TERM		TERM	P-	
QUESTIONS	ANSWERS	No	%	No	%	Value	
Do you take the medications at the established time	Always	13	26.00	44	88.00	0.001	
Do you follow diet rules	Almost always	13	26.00	22	44.00	0.000	
Do you fit the medication schedules in your daily activities	Always	19	38.00	33	66.00	0.000	
Do you comply with the treatment without supervision from your family or friends	Always	28	56.00	26	52.00	0.000	
Do you discuss how to comply with treatment	Always	1	1.00	20	40.00	0.000	
Do you and your doctor decide together the treatment to be followed	Always	2	4.00	21	42.00	0.006	

DISCUSSION

Data from the survey on the *Vigilância de Fatores de Risco e Proteção para Doenças Crônicas por Inquérito Telefônico* - Vigitel (Surveillance of Risk Factors and Protection for Chronic Diseases by Telephone Survey) applied by the Ministry of Health in Brazilian capitals and the Federal District, with approximately 45,448 elderly respondents showed that the population consisted of 61% of female patients, similar to what was found this research, with a prevalent age ranging from 65 to 69 years¹⁷.

In another study, carried out with 28,496 patients with DM and AH, in 13 Primary Health Care units, located in the Southern region of the city of São Paulo, there was a prevalence of females (63.2%) and and age greater than 50 years, results similar to those of this research using the same statistical methods¹⁸. The aging of the population, along with lifestyle habits, can favor comorbidities¹⁹.

Studies carried out with women with AH indicate that one of the variables for the lack of adherence to the treatment of NCDs is low income and low education²⁰. Another study reported an association of DM with unemployed women, low socioeconomic conditions and low education levels²¹.

In the present study, the predominant comorbidity is DM, specifically Type 2 DM (72%). A research that analyzed comorbidities and clinical complications, as well as factors associated with mortality in elderly patients hospitalized for hip fractures, evaluated 67 medical records of patients aged 65 years and over, with AH as the predominant NCD, with prevalence of $61.1\%^{22}$. The largest record of AH in that study, a contrast with the this research, which had DM as predominant, is probably due to the location, that develops a group of diabetes.

When questioning patients with NCDs , regarding their experience with the handbook, in the period of time of data collection, 94% revealed that the handbook helped with their treatment, showing the effectiveness of the instrument, as it allowed the registration and monitoring of the patient, who managed to monitor lifestyle habits, blood pressure and blood

sugar, medications used, diagnoses of previous intervention, anthropometric data, personal information, social and family data and their health conditions, in addition to guidelines such as storage of medicines, access to medicines in SUS, 10 steps to healthy eating, physical activity and sexuality.

When asking the following question: "Do you take the medications at the established time", in the 1st Term, only 26% reported "always" taking it, while the 2nd Term showed an increased to 44%. The handbook has a page in which the patient can write down all the medications, dosage and frequency of use, helping them to remember to take the medication at the correct time. Another reason would be the guidance that was given to the patient at the time of delivery of the handbook. The recommendation was to keep the handbook together with the medications, facilitating access and avoiding forgetting to take them at the correct time.

The failure of therapeutic effectiveness results in many causes of death and hospitalization, as a result from the incorrect use of medication, poor adherence to prescribed treatment, lack of access to medication, difficulty in organizing the schedule of the various medications used in one day, not understanding dosages and not believing in their improvement. Professional guidance and assistance are important for the patient's understanding of their treatment, along with educational activities²³. The guidelines given were positively understood with the approach during the interview and with the guidelines present in the handbook.

After asking if they "follow a diet" in the 1st Term, the patients reported not "always" following a diet; in the 2nd Term, this number increased to 40%. Within the guidelines given in the handbook, page 25 shows the 10 steps to healthy eating, addressing the importance of three meals a day, healthy foods, importance of eating fruits and vegetables in all meals, amount of oils, fats, sugar and salt used in the preparation of meals, the importance of drinking water, attention to nutritional information on the labels of processed and outdated products, among many other information 4. At the time of delivery of the handbook, the importance of diet in the treatment was pointed out to the patients, as these factors contributed to the improvement in adherence to diets.

Good eating habits are characterized as the main and essential means in the prevention and control of NCDs, as the consequences result from unhealthy habits and lifestyles⁵. A study showed that 80% of the occurrences of DM and AH would be preventable based on good dietary practices, increasing the consumption of vegetables and fruits, and reducing the intake of saturated fat, sodium and sugary drinks²⁴.

When asking the question "do you fit the medication schedules in you daily activities", in the 1st Term, 38% reported they always did. In the 2nd Term, there was an increase, rising to 66%. The reason for this increase is given by the table with the drugs used and the times, making the patient remember to take the drug at a set time. The importance of taking the medication at the right time was also advised.

When questioning whether they "discussed how to comply with the treatment and you and your doctor decide together the treatment to be followed", there was a significant increase from the 1st Term to the 2nd Term. This increase is due to the guidelines present in the handbook, highlighting information at the beginning of the handbook, alerting the patient to the patient to talk to their health professional and clarify their doubts. After completing the application of the 1st Term, they were instructed that they had the right to clarify all doubts that arose during medical consultations and the importance of participating in the decision of their treatment.

The patient has autonomy in their treatment, thus being able to participate in the elaboration of their treatment and give their opinion, and the professional must address the importance of adherence for the control of their disease. The concept of patient autonomy is knowing how to listen to their wishes and preferences, thus having freedom of decision. If the patient refuses to undergo treatment, the professional should try as much as possible to

convince them of its importance, putting into practice the principle of beneficence. At the end, everything that was said should be resumed²⁵. Upon delivery of the handbook, there was a resumption and resolution of doubts.

The handbook contributed to the expansion of knowledge, as it addressed important guidelines, such as storage of medicines, food, physical activity, access to medicines in the SUS, sexuality and with nursing care in follow-up. The participation of nurses throughout the treatment is important, as it is the professional closest to the patient, to provide guidance, answer questions and assist in their treatment. Thus, it is noted that the professional must be trained and have light technologies to provide quality care, providing effective verbal and non-verbal communication, building bonds and problem-solving²⁶.

As it is a chronic disease, monitoring must occur continuously, emphasizing the transcendence of the patient, and it is necessary that they are properly oriented, have knowledge about their disease, so that, in this way, they observe essential habits, such as healthy eating, physical activity, and always keep in mind the importance of following treatment, reducing complications resulting from poor treatment adherence and being able to identify early signs and symptoms that could result in a health problem²⁷.

NCDs, when uncontrolled and without treatment adherence, will result in secondary diseases. With this, the nursing professional has the duty to seek methods for the control and prevention of patients undergoing NCDs, through health education actions, thus preventing the evolution of the disease. Education is considered an indispensable element in both individual and collective decision-making. It can be used as an instrument for hygienist approaches, disease prevention, social determinants of health, health promotion, health²⁹. In another study that portrayed health education as an indispensable instrument for the care of patients with DM, a solid and comprehensive monitoring was obtained, acting in health promotion and prevention of injuries resulting from chronic disease³⁰.

CONCLUSION

This research identified that the adaptation and implementation of the Diabetic and Hypertensive Patient's Handbook in nursing care proved to be effective in the treatment of patients with AH and DM.

This instrument was relevant in several aspects: working with education and health promotion through the guidelines that were given in the first contact by the nursing staff and those brought in the handbook regarding storage and importance of medicines, the best eating habits, sexuality and physical activity.

Benefits for nursing practice were also identified, helping to improve knowledge and their techniques for developing methods that can contribute to adherence, monitoring and control of patients with NCDs in outpatient clinics and health units.

Also, the adapted handbook proved to be efficient in solving doubts and provided guidance for the control of chronic disease, monitoring of lifestyle habits, control of appointments (scheduled appointments), place to write down the medications used and diagnoses of previous intervention.

The limitations of the study were due to the COVID-19 pandemic, as the patients had difficulties in attending the clinic, many due to restrictions imposed by Government Decrees (lockdown) and, some, due to fear of contagion. Also, the adapted handbook was not validated, as it did not pass through experts in the area, added there to the lack of comparative studies of before and after access to the handbook and brothers still with adaptations. In turn, this research brings to light the need for comparative studies before and after the use of the referred handbook, as well as the need for adaptations and/or inclusions that seek to expand and facilitate better knowledge of the user with NCDs.

REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable Diseases Progress Monitor 2020. Genebra: World Health Organization; 2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/ncd-progress-monitor-2020
- 2. World Health Organization. Health statístics and information systems. Disease burden and mortality estimates. Cause specific mortality, 2000-2016. [Internet]. Geneva, World Health Organization; 2021 [cited in 21 June 2020]. Available from: https://www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/estimates/en/
- 3. GBD 2017 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sexspecific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980- 2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet. [Internet]. 2018 [cited in 21 June 2020];392(10159):1736-88. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32203-7
- 4. Ministério da Saúde. Covid-19. [Internet]. [cited in 15 June 2020]. Available from: https://www.saude.gov.br/noticias/43036-sobre-a-vigilancia-de-dcnt.
- 5. Ozcariz SG, Bernardo CO, Cembranel F, Peres MA, González-Chica DA. Dietary practices among individuals with diabetes and hypertension are similar to those of healthy people: a population-based study. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2015 [cited in 17 June 2020];15(479):1-10. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-015-1801-7
- 6. Malta DC, Bernal RTI, Lima MG, Araújo SSC, Silva MMA, Freitas MIF, et al. Doenças crônicas não transmissíveis e a utilização de serviços de saúde: análise da Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde no Brasil. Rev Saude Publica [Internet]. 2017. [cited in 20 June 2020];51(Supl 1):1s-10s. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/s1518-8787.2017051000090
- 7. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. Política Nacional de Promoção da Saúde: PNPS: Anexo I da Portaria de Consolidação nº 2, de 28 de setembro de 2017, que consolida as normas sobre as políticas nacionais de saúde do SUS. Brasília (DF): Ministério da Saúde; 2018.
- 8. Ministério da Saúde. Gabinete do Ministro. Portaria n. 2.761, de 19 de novembro de 2013. Institui a Política Nacional de Educação Popular em Saúde no Âmbito do Sistema Único de Saúde (PNEPS-SUS). [Internet]. [cited in 20 June 2020]. Availabel from: http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/saudelegis/gm/2013/prt2761_19_11_2013.html
- 9. Azevedo PR, Sousa MM, Sousa NF, Oliveira SHS. Ações de educação em saúde no contexto das doenças crônicas: revisão integrativa. Rev Pesq Cuid Fundam Online. [Internet]. 2018. [cited in 21 June 2020];10(1):260-7. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.9789/2175-5361.2018.v10i1.260-267
- 10. Mallmann DG, Galindo Neto NM, Sousa JC, Vasconcelos EMR. Educação em saúde como principal alternativa para promover a saúde do idoso. Ciênc Saúde Coletiva. [Internet]. 2015 [cited in 25 June 2020];20(6):1763-72. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232015206.02382014
- 11. Silva CG, Sena LB, Rolim ILTP, Sousa SMA, Sardinha AHL. Cuidados de enfermagem a pacientes com condições crônicas de saúde: uma revisão integrativa. Rev Pesq Cuid Fundam Online. [Internet]. 2017 [cited in 31 Mar 2021];9(2):599-605. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.9789/2175-5361.2017.v9i2.599-605.
- 12. Conselho Nacional de Secretários de Saúde. CONASS Debate. Inovação na Atenção Ambulatorial Especializada. Brasília (DF): CONASS; 2016. Available from: http://www.seer.unirio.br/cuidadofundamental/article/view/4474
- 13. Kluge HHP, Wickramasinghe K, Rippin HL, Mendes R, Peters DH, Kontsevaya A, et al. Prevention and control of non-communicable diseases in the COVID-19 response. Lancet. [Internet]. 2020 [cited in 31 Mar 2021];395(10238):1678-80. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31067-9
- 14. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized

- with COVID-19 in the New York City Area. JAMA. [Internet]. 2020 [cited in 31 Mar 2021];323(20):2052-9. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.1001%2Fjama.2020.6775
- 15. World Health Organization. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on noncommunicable disease resources and services: results of a rapid assessment. Genebra: World Health Organization; 2020.
- 16. Ministério da Saúde. Caderneta de Saúde da Pessoa Idosa. [Internet]. Brasília (DF): Ministério da Saúde; 2017. [cited in 05 Apr 2021]. Available from: https://portalarquivos2.saude.gov.br/images/pdf/2017/setembro/27/CADERNETA-PESSOA-IDOSA-2017-Capa-miolo.pdf
- 17. Francisco PMSB, Segri NJ, Borim FSA, Malta DC. Prevalência simultânea de hipertensão e diabetes em idosos brasileiros: desigualdades individuais e contextuais. Ciênc Saúde Coletiva. [Internet]. 2018 [cited in 05 Mar 2021];23(11): 3829-40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-812320182311.29662016
- 18. Sarno F, Bittencourt CAG, Oliveira SA. Perfil de pacientes com hipertensão arterial e/ou diabetes mellitus de unidades de Atenção Primária à Saúde. Einstein (São Paulo). [Internet]. 2020 [cited in 20 Mar 2021]; 18:eA04483. DOI: https://doi.org/10.31744/einstein_journal/2020ao4483
- 19. Kalache A, Veras RP, Ramos LR. O envelhecimento da população mundial: um desafio novo. Rev Saúde Pública [Internet]. 1987 [cited in 20 Mar 2021];21(3):200-10. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/S0034-89101987000300005
- 20. Mion Júnior D, Pierin AMG, Bambirra AP, Assunção JA, Monteiro JM, Chinen RY et al. Hypertension in employees of a University General Hospital. Rev Hosp Clin. [Internet]. 2004 [cited in 20 Mar 2021];59(6):329-36. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/S0041-87812004000600004
- 21. Agardh E, Allebeck P, Hallqvist J, Moradi T, Sidorchuk A. Type 2 diabetes incidence and socioeconomic position: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Int J Epidemiol [Internet]. 2011 [cited in 27 Mar 2021]; 40(3):804-18. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyr029
- 22. Edelmuth SVCL, Sorio GN, Sprovieri FAA, Gali JC, Peron SF. Comorbidades, intercorrências clínicas e fatores associados à mortalidade em pacientes idosos internados por fratura de quadril. Rev Bras Ortop. [Internet]. 2018 [cited in 06 Mar 2021]; 53(5):543-51. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rboe.2018.07.014
- 23. Clavero VFG. Orientações aos pacientes no uso correto dos medicamentos prescritos: um dever dos profissionais de saúde. [undergraduate thesis]. Sete Lagoas, MG: Universidade de Minas Gerais; 2016. 34p.
- 24. World Health Organization. Preventing chronic diseases, a vital investment. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2005.
- 25. Vargas P, Holanda DM, Alburquerque A. Tomada de decisão apoiada em paciente idoso com vulnerabilidade acrescida. Rev Temas Saúde. [Internet]. 2020 [cited in 10 Mar 2021];20(2):251-66. Available from: https://temasemsaude.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/20214.pdf
- 26. Torres GMC, Figueiredo IDT, Cândido JAB, Morais APP, Almeida MI. O emprego das tecnologias leves no cuidado ao hipertenso na Estratégia Saúde da Família. Esc Anna Nery. [Internet]. 2018 [cited in 10 Mar 2021];22(3):e20170169. DOI: 10.1590/2177-9465-EAN-2017-0169
- 27. Figueiredo SV, Moreira TMM, Mota CS, Oliveira RS, Gomes ILV. Elaboração e validação de caderneta de orientação em saúde para familiares de crianças com doença falciforme. Esc Anna Nery. [Internet]. 2019 [cited in 20 Mar 2021]; 23(1):e20180231. Available from: https://www.scielo.br/pdf/ean/v23n1/pt_1414-8145-ean-23-01-e20180231.pdf
- 28. Malta DC, Szwarcwald CL, Barros MBA, Gomes CS, Machado ÍE, Souza Júnior PRB, et al. A pandemia da COVID-19 e as mudanças no estilo de vida dos brasileiros adultos: um estudo

transversal, 2020. Epidemiol Serv Saúde [Internet]. 2020 [cited in 30 Mar 2021]; 29(4):e2020407. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/s1679-49742020000400026 29. Salci MA, Meirelles BHS, Silva DMGV. Educação em saúde para prevenção das complicações crônicas do diabetes mellitus na atenção primária. Esc Anna Nery. [Internet]. 2018 [cited in 05] Apr 2021];22(1):e20170262. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/2177-9465-ean-2017-0262 30. Martins I. Educação em Ciências e Educação em Saúde: breves apontamentos sobre histórias, práticas e possibilidades de articulação. Ciênc Educ (Bauru). [Internet]. 2019 [cited in 05 Apr 2021];25(2):269-75. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-731320190020001

Associated Publisher: Fernanda Carolina Camargo

CONTRIBUTIONS

Francine Santos Giovanini collaborated in the conception of the study and its design, data collection and analysis, writing and review. Bárbara Longhi de Oliveira contributed to the writing. Rita de Cássia Helú Mendonça Ribeiro participated in the design and writing. Vânia Del'Arco Paschoal and Daniele Alcalá Pompeo contributed to the review. **Alexandre Lins Werneck** participated in the project design, writing and review.

How to cite this article (Vancouver)

Giovanini FS, Oliveira BL, Ribeiro RCHM, Paschoal VDA, Pompeo DA, Werneck AL. Diabetic and Hypertensive Patient's Handbook: adaptation of the Caderneta de Saúde da Pessoa Idosa for nursing assistance in secondary care. REFACS [Internet]. 2022 [cited in insert day, month and year of access]; 10(1):145-155. Available from: insert access link. DOI: insert DOI link.

How to cite this article (ABNT)

GIOVANINI, F. S.; OLIVEIRA, B. L.; RIBEIRO, R. C. H. M.; PASCHOAL V. D. A.; POMPEO, D. A.; WERNECK, A. L. Diabetic and Hypertensive Patient's Handbook: adaptation of the Caderneta de Saúde da Pessoa Idosa for nursing assistance in secondary care. **REFACS**, Uberaba, MG, v. 10, n. 1, p. 145-155, 2022. DOI: insert DOI link. Available from: insert access link. Access in: insert day, month and year of access.

How to cite this article (APA)

Giovanini, F.S., Oliveira, B.L., Ribeiro R.C.H.M., Paschoal, V.D.A., Pompeo, D.A., Werneck, A.L. (2022). Diabetic and Hypertensive Patient's Handbook: adaptation of the Caderneta de Saúde da Pessoa Idosa for nursing assistance in secondary care. REFACS, 10(1), 145-155. Retrieved in insert day, month and year of access from insert access link. DOI: insert DOI link.

